

<http://clx.asso.fr/spip/?Vimproved-bizarrie-ou-non>



Vimproved, bizarrie ou non ?

- Documentations - Technique -



Date de mise en ligne : mercredi 20 février 2013

Copyright © Club LinuX Nord-Pas de Calais - Tous droits réservés

Contexte

Un fichier.

Deux user distincts, dans le même groupe Unix.

Des permissions propre à un seul utilisateur.

Le fichier est dans un répertoire modifiable par le groupe.

```
user@host:/tmp # ls -l file -rwxr-xr-x 1 user staff 209 Feb 15 10:40 file  hacker@host:/tmp # chown hacker  
file chown: changing ownership of `file': Operation not permitted  hacker@host:/tmp # echo coin >> file  
-ksh: file: cannot create [Permission denied]  hacker@host:/tmp # sed -i -e "s/coin/pan/g" file  
hacker@host:/tmp # ls -l file -rwxr-xr-x 1 hacker staff 209 Feb 15 10:41 file
```

Sed

Sed fait les choses proprement. L'utilisateur qui n'a a priori pas le droit de modifier le fichier si on s'en tient aux permissions Unix le modifie, et en plus se l'approprie. Certes l'inode change.

En réalité, c'est un fonctionnement normal de sed via l'option -i . Lisons le man :

```
-c, --copy           use copy instead of rename when shuffling files in -i mode  
(avoids change of input file ownership)
```

Vim

Vim en fait autant. Le phénomène est dit naturel, et apparaît dans le man, tout simplement Et tant pis si cela dépasse la compréhension des permissions unix :

```
:w[rite]! [++opt]      Like ":write", but forcefully write when 'readonly' is          set  
or there is another reason why writing was                      refused.          Note:  
This may change the permission and ownership of                  the file and break (symbolic) links.  
Add the 'W' flag          to 'coptions' to avoid this.
```

Source : <http://vimdoc.sourceforge.net/htmld...>

Mais en réalité, l'inode n'est pas modifié avec vim, contrairement à sed. D'autres se sont posés la question, voir ce sujet sur <http://unix.stackexchange.com/quest...>

You, glen, are the owner of the directory (see the . file in your listing). A directory is just a list of files and you have the permission to alter this list (e.g. add files, remove files, change ownerships to make it yours again, etc.). You may not be able to alter the contents of the file directly, but you can read and unlink (remove) the file as a whole and add new files subsequently.¹ Only witnessing the before and

Vimproved, bizarrie ou non ?

```
after, this may look like the file has been altered. Vim uses swap files and moves files around under
water, so that explains why it seems to write to the same file as you do in your shell, but it's not the
same thing.2 So, what Vim does, comes down to this: cat temp > .temp.swp      # copy file by contents
into a new glen-owned file echo nope >> .temp.swp      # or other command to alter the new file rm temp &&
mv .temp.swp temp  # move temporary swap file back 1This is an important difference in file permission
handling between Windows and Unices. In Windows, one is usually not able to remove files you don't have
write permission for. 2 update: as noted in the comments, Vim does not actually do it this way for changing
the ownership, as the inode number on the temp file does not change (comaring ls -li before and after).
Using strace we can see exactly what vim does. The interesting part is here: open("temp",
O_WRONLY|O_CREAT|O_TRUNC, 0664) = -1 EACCES (Permission denied) unlink("temp")
= 0 open("temp", O_WRONLY|O_CREAT|O_TRUNC, 0664) = 4 write(4, "more text bla\n", 14)          = 14
close(4)           = 0 chmod("temp", 0664)           = 0  This
shows that it only unlinks, but does not close the file descriptor to temp. It rather just overwrites its
whole contents (more text bla\n in my case). I guess this explains why the inode number does not change.
```

Autrement dit, vim ne ferme juste pas le filedescriptor que l'OS lui autorise à ouvrir.

Trop gros pour être vrai ?

Essayez

Post-scriptum :

Note le user "hacker" dans l'exemple n'est que caricatural, les résultats sont tout à fait normaux.